	Case 2:12-cv-00422-JCM-CWH Document / Filed 08/13/12 Page 1 of 2
1	
1	
2	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	VAFA SHAMSAI-NEJAD, )
9	) Case No. 2:12-CV-00422-JCM-CWF Plaintiff,
10	vs.
11	) <u>ORDER</u>
12	TEAMSTERS UNION, et al.,
13	Defendants. )
14	
15	Presently is the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Carl W. Hoffman
16	regarding plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Doc. #4. No objections have
17	been filed.
18	This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
19	recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects
20	to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo
21	determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."
22	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).
23	Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at
24	all of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." <i>Thomas v. Arn</i> , 474 U.S. 140, 149
25	(1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a
26	magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United
27	States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review
28	employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no
	d and the second se

## Case 2:12-cv-00422-JCM-CWH Document 7 Filed 08/13/12 Page 2 of 2

1	objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz.
2	2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in <i>Reyna–Tapia</i> as adopting the view that district
3	courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if
4	there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this court may accept the
5	recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting,
6	without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).
7	Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine
8	whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the
9	recommendation and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause appears to ADOPT the
10	magistrate's findings in full.
11	Therefore,
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the report and
13	recommendation of Magistrate Judge Hoffman (doc. #4) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED
14	in its entirety.
15	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the clerk of the court shall file plaintiff's complaint.
16	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the complaint be, and the same hereby is,
17	DISMISSED.
18	DATED this 13 <sup>TH</sup> day of August, 2012.
19	
20	Xellus C. Mahan
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge